UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,662	01/19/2006	Satoshi Omura	8012-1317	. 5881
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/22/2008 IOMPSON	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			AUDET, MAURY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
				 :-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	·PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Co	ontrol No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		ınder
	10/532,662		OMURA ET AL.	
			Art Unit	
	CECILIA TSANG		1654	
Document Code - AP.PRE.				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

1 100101 11011 11011	18919 (18) (88)
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed <u>12/3/07</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper at reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other: .	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run freshe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	rom the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from otice of Appeal has been received.
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because this required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been I action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) CECILIA TSANG. Cal Toy	(3) <u>Maury Audet</u> .
(2) <u>Robert Wax</u> .	(4)